G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

Later references.

1921

(Mysore)

File No. 427-21

Serial Nos 1 to 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for February 1922

SUBJECT.

Lease of lands in Naganahalli village
endowed to Sri Prasanna Nanjun
Swami temple at Santhepet
Mysore City

To the Dy Court Mysore City
The Comptroller
No 2652-38 23-2-22
Sanctioning the lease of lands
referred to above

WD 1888—GPB—16,626—20-7-21

G. R. S. III—1] 1921

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mujrai)

NOTES.

File No. 427-21

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the land in Vajramahalle Village endowed to Sri Prasanna Vaijnadesvara Swami temple at Santepet, Mysore city.

From

The Dy Comr, Mysore Dist

No. 135 Dated 9.9.21 Received

Diary No. 978	Enclos. 1 & 2 Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests sanction to the action taken by him regarding the renewal of the patta for the year 1919-20 & 1920-21, and for the further lease from the year 1921-2 regarding the land comprised in block

NOTES AND ORDERS.

No I Carrying of 17 - 22 acres 5 guntas.

Mujr. D. No. 338-19 relating to the subject is submitted.

The Dem. records have not been received.

Submitted.

6.12.21.

Many days delay!

Notes—First Sheet.

(2

G. R.-S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 42) of 19 21

Serial No. 2

To, D.C. Mysore -

No. 3003 Dt 20 12 21

calls for remarks &c

3

From, the Dy Comr, Mysore Dist,

Letter no 135 Dt 18.1.22.

Submits the Govt records connected with the case.

The Dy. Comr reports in Sl No. 8 out of the entire extent of 21-20, that Ramanna has cultivated only 8 acres 22 guntas, and 8 acres 1 gunta respectively during the years 1919-20 and 1920-1 respectively, and recommends the remission of the proportionate amount as indicated below from Govt:-

For 1919-20 calculated upon 8-22 (a)

Rs 250/- for 21-20 - comes to Rs 99-6-8

For 1919-20 — " — 8-1 (a)

Rs 500 per acre, comes to ~~Rs 186-10-1~~ Rs. 286-0-9.

He further recommends that as per G.O. no. 1411-21/Muz 182-18 Dt 30-11-18, a sum of Rs 25/- might be paid to the lessee for initial expenses in reclaiming the 14-22 fit for cultivation.

Sanction is also solicited to the lease out these lands

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

from the year 21-22 and onwards to Ramanna subject to payment of rents as noted below:—

Rs 408-2-3 or in round figures Rs 408 per year for 12 years from 1921-22 to 1932-33, and Rs 689-12-3 in round figures Rs 690 per year for the remaining 5 years from 1933-34 to 1937-38.

He promises to submit a fruitless report in respect of a & 3-38 of Cand comprised in block No. 2 on receipt of a report from the Sub Divl Officer.

Submit for orders

Rs 1-22 Dy 31.1.22

I Wish is the opinion of the Dharwad Authorities

Dy 1/2/22

The opinion of the Dharwad Authorities has not been taken.

On an appeal from

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 19 21

Serial No.

against the order of the Sub-Divl. Officer, the Dy. Commr. passed an order on 25.8.21 and the facts therein have been reported to Govt.

Submitted

[illegible] 1.2.22

Seen & approved.

[illegible] 13/2/22

Draft. S.O. submitted.

[illegible] 15.2.22

[illegible] 15/2/22

[illegible] 16/2/22

Typed fair draft submitted.

[illegible] 18/2/22

Second Member [illegible] 20/2/22

Draft approved

Secy 21.2.22

[illegible] 21/2/22

4434-100
20.2.22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 4 27 of 19 21

Serial No.	
4	To The Dy. Comm^r, Mysore District Lr No. 4652-3 & 23rd Feb 1922 Sanctions the lease of lands in Nagamahalli Village endowed to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swamy temple at Santhepet, Mysore City

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

69195

21 · 22
Mer
427—21

1922

From The Deputy Secretary to
Mysore Govt.

To The Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Nagpur
Ootacamund

Dated 8th September 21

Despatched 9th September 21 REV. SECTT.

Received 11 SEP 1921

General No. Rev. Sec.
No.

Enclosure No

Subject Further report re the boundary between the Sagar Taluk village enclosed to the Principal Commissioner Mysore Residency Ootacamund

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District,

Date, Mysore the 8th. September 21.

From

C.Hiriyanaiya, Esq., M.A.,B.L.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq. B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Referring to correspondence ending with your letter No.496 Muz.338-19-5 dated the 2nd August 1921 regarding the lease of the lands comprised in block Nos.1 and 2 in Kasanahalli village, endowed to the Sri Prasanna Manjudeswaraswamy temple at Santepet, Mysore City, I have the honor to state in continuation of this office letter No.Ref.A.C.135-18-19 dated 1st October 1920, that while the matter of the award of the above two blocks in exchange for the submerged lands, was still under correspondence, Karikar Kamanna referred to in my previous letter is said to have assumed possession of the lands (in block No.1 consisting of 17 acres 22 guntas) unauthorisedly and cultivated a portion during the years 19-20 and 20-21 even before he was put in actual possession of the lands in question by the proper authorities. On this, the Sub Division Officer ordered the recovery of two year's gutta viz.Rs.750/- as per the provisions contained in Government Order No.1411-12 Muz. 182-18-2 dated 30th November 1918. Kamanna represented before the Sub Division Officer that as he did not cultivate the full extent of 21 acres 20 guntas, he might not be subjected to pay the full amount of gutta and that a proportionate reduction might be ordered. Reconsidering the circumstances of the case, the Sub Division Officer on a perusal

perusal of the pahani extracts of the village, held that Ramanna had cultivated only 8 acres 28 cunts and 6 acres 1 cunt under the years 19-20 and 20-21 respectively and had raised 14 candies paddy during each of these two years. The Sub Division Officer finally ordered therefore, the recovery from Ramanna of half of the two year's income viz.14 candies as waste paid to Land-lords share its value viz. Rs.210/- calculated at 15 rupees per candy.

2 As regards the lease of the lands the Sub Division Officer issued instructions to the Amildar directing that Ramanna should be deprived of the possession of the lands in question and that a suitable person might be found to take up the lease for 10 or 15 yrs. The Amildar reported that no ryots of the surrounding villages was willing to take up the lease of the lands in question on the score that much difficulty would be felt in cultivating them.

3 The matter came up in appeal before me on perusal of the entire records and under the circumstances stated above my predecessor had ordered that the amount due from the lessee should be recovered at once i.e. the proportionate amount (Rs.25 per acre) for the extent cultivated by him in the first two years in view of the fact that the lessee had not been paid Rs.25 per acre to render the land fit for cultivation, that Rs.25 might be paid to the lessee for initial expenses in reclaiming the 17 acres and 28 cunts as fit for cultivation, as per Government Order, that a mitchelna should be ordered at once as already ordered, and that cutta might be reduced proportionately, i.e. the lessee to pay the cutta as follows: From the year 21-22 and onwards:—Rs.408-2-3 or in round figures Rs.408 per year for 12 years from 1921-22 ~~and~~ to 1932-33, and Rs.489-1-3 in round figures Rs.490/- per year for the remaining five years from 1933-34 to 1937-38.

4 Separate orders have also issued to the Sub Division Officer to arrange for the lease of block No.2 measuring 3 acres 38 and to report the result of action taken to this office.

5 On receipt of this report further proposals in respect lease of

* For 1919-20 calculated upon 8-12 @ Rs 25/- per Acre - Rs. 212 as vide Rs 99
— 8-1 —@ Rs 50/- —"— 180-0-0
— 1920-21 — —"— 286-0-0
Total

No this block will be submitted for the sanction of Government.

6 I beg that the action taken by me (as stated in para 3 supra) regarding the recovery of the cutta for the years 1919-20 and 1920-21 and for the further leases from the year 1921-22 and onwards in respect of the lands comprised in block No.1 consisting of 17 acres and 3 cents in Kindlur may be approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Coimbatore District.

S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue_____

Signed by_____

Section Inwrai

File No. 427-21

Serial No 2

No.

To

The Dy. Commr,

Mysore Distt

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 135 dy 9th Sept 21 reporting on the surrender lease of the lands in Kasaba Hobli Village endowed to Sri Basavana Nanjundeswara Swami Temple at Santhepet Mysore city, I am

over.

So far as I forward for reference the entire correspondence bearing on the case.

Yours &c

Dr Buz. Rec. Surg.

7/12/17

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

2879

1921-22 22

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.
427-21
3

To The Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Huzur
Secretariat, Bangalore.

Dated 17th January 1922.

Despatched 18th January 1922

Received

General No. Ref. Ac. Nos 135 of 18-19 Huzur
No.

Enclosure No. one file.

Subuncts records relating to the lease
of lands in Nagenahalli village
endowed to the Sri Prasanna
Ranjundeswara Swamy temple
at Saulkere Mysore Taluk

Office of the Deputy Comm
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 17th. Janu. 1922

Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
[illegible]

Sir,

[illegible] letter No.3005 Muz.427-21-2 dated 28th December 21, I have the honor to submit herewith the original memorandum of boring received with Mysore Sub Division Office report No. 427-21-22 dated 6th January 1922 on the [illegible] land situated in Ingarahalli village [illegible] Ingarahalli, Venkataramanaswamy, temple at [illegible], Mysore City.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Copied by

Examined by

Proceedings of the Government of H.H
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read

Letter No.496-Muz.338-19 dated 2nd August 1921 to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, requesting that early arrangements may be made for leasing out the lands in the tank-bed of Naganahalli village, Mysore taluk., endowed to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple at Santepet, Mysore City, and also for the recovery of the arrears due from the lessee Ramanna.

Correspondence ending with letter No.135 dated 18th January 1922 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the subject.

Order -- No. 4652-3/Muz3 En: ore/fo 427-21-4

Dated Bangalore, the 22-2-22

In the correspondence read above, the Deputy Commissioner, reports that as the lessee Ramanna cultivated out of the entire extent of m 17 acres 22 guntas forming Block No.I in the tank bed of Naganahalli village referred to, only 8 acres 22 guntas and 8 acres 1 gunta of temple lands, respectively, during the years 1919-20 and 1920-21, he has directed the recovery of the proportionate amount of gutta viz., Rs.99-6-8 and Rs.186-10-1

GMU. 2 22

from him and requests that this may be approved. He also requests permission to pay the lessee at Rs.25/- per acre as initial expenses for rendering the lands fitfor for cultivation.

2. The Deputy Commissioner further recommends that the lands may be leased from the year 1921-22 and onwards to the lessee Ramanna subject to the payment of gutta by him as follows:-

Rs.408-2-3 or in round figures Rs.408/- per year for 12 years from 1921-22 to 1932-3. and Rs.489-12-3 or in round figures Rs.490/- per year for the remaining 5 years from 1933-4 to 1937-8. The Deputy Commissioner's recommendation is sanctioned.

3. He is requested to expedite submission of his promised report in the matter of leasing out 3 acres 38 guntas of temple land comprised in Block No.II.

21-2-22

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dist. returning the records.
Comptroller to Govt.

GKV